



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/076,421	02/19/2002	Manabu Wada	HAYAK-9	9291
23599	7590 12/16/2004		EXAMINER	
MILLEN, WHITE, ZELANO & BRANIGAN, P.C. 2200 CLARENDON BLVD.			PARKIN, JEFFREY S	
SUITE 1400			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ARLINGTON, VA 22201			1648	
1			DATE MAILED: 12/16/2007	1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary		10/076,421	WADA ET AL.					
		Examiner	Art Unit					
		Jeffrey S. Parkin, Ph.D.	1648					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SH THE - External after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It is period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by star reply received by the Office later than three months after the may be patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may eply within the statutory minimum of to will apply and will expire SIX (6) M tute, cause the application to become	r a reply be timely filed thirty (30) days will be considered time IONTHS from the mailing date of this of ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13	September 2004.						
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) T	his action is non-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	ion of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>6,7 and 9-34</u> is/are pending in the adaptive day of the above claim(s) <u>6,7 and 9-26</u> is/are Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>27-34</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	withdrawn from considera	ation.					
Applicati	ion Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
Attachmen	t(s)							
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		w Summary (PTO-413)					
3) 🛛 Inform	te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 or No(s)/Mail Date <u>09/13/04; 10/28/04</u> .		lo(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application (PT(O-152)				

Serial No.: 10/076,421 Docket No.: HAYAK-9
Applicants: Wada, M., and N. Wada Filing Date: 02/19/02

Detailed Office Action

Status of the Claims

Acknowledgement is hereby made of receipt and entry of the communication filed 13 September, 2004, wherein claims 1-5 and 8 were canceled and new claims 27-34 introduced. This application contains claims 6, 7, and 9-26 drawn to an invention non-elected with traverse. A complete response to the final rejection must include cancellation of non-elected claims or other appropriate action (refer to 37 C.F.R. § 1.144 and M.P.E.P. § 821.01). Claims 27-34 are currently under examination.

37 C.F.R. § 1.98

The information disclosure statements 13 September and 28 October, 2004, have been placed in the application file and the information referred to therein has been considered.

35 U.S.C. § 112, First Paragraph

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. § 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 27-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, because the specification does not reasonably enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the

- 1 -

invention commensurate in scope with these claims. It is noted that the disclosure demonstrates that HMW-uPA and a specific ATF of said protease (aa 21-155) are capable of inhibiting HIV-1 infection. Appropriate amendment of the claim language to reflect this finding would be acceptable. However, the disclosure is clearly not enabled for the full breadth of protection directed toward any sundry "anti-HIV" agent that is capable of binding to CD87.

The legal considerations that govern enablement determinations pertaining to undue experimentation have been clearly set forth. Enzo Biochem, Inc., 52 U.S.P.O.2d 1129 (C.A.F.C. 1999). In re Wands, 8 U.S.P.O.2d 1400 (C.A.F.C. 1988). Ex parte Forman 230 U.S.P.Q. 546 (PTO Bd. Pat. App. Int., 1986). The courts concluded that several factual inquiries should be considered when making such assessments including the quantity experimentation necessary, the amount of direction or quidance presented, the presence or absence of working examples, the nature of the invention, the state of the prior art, the relative skill of those in that art, the predictability or unpredictability of the art and the breadth of the claims. In re Rainer, 52 C.C.P.A. 1593, 347 F.2d 574, 146 U.S.P.Q. 218 (1965).

It has been well-documented that the development of HIV antivirals has been problematic and difficult (Gait and Karn, 1995; Yarchoan et al., 1993; Back, 1999; Patience et al., 1994). This is due to several factors including the quasispecies nature of HIV infection which leads to drug resistance, the failure of many in vitro and in vivo assays to accurately predict clinical efficacy, and the poor pharmacological profile of many putative therapeutics (i.e., short serum half-life, poor bioavailability, rapid clearance, sequestration of the drug by serum proteins, drug resistance and uneven distribution throughout the body). However, the disclosure fails to provide adequate guidance pertaining to a

U.S. Serial No. 10/076,421 Applicants: Wada, M., and N. Wada

number of these considerations.

35 U.S.C. § 103(a)

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Subject matter developed by another person, which qualifies as prior art only under subsection (f) or (g) of section 102 of this title, shall not preclude patentability under this section where the subject matter and the claimed invention were, at the time the invention was made, owned by the same person or subject to an obligation of assignment to the same person.

inventors. application currently names joint This considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 C.F.R. § 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. § 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. § 102(f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a).

Claims 27-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Stoppelli *et al.* (1985). Stoppelli and colleagues provide compositions comprising both the HMW-uPA and the amino terminal fragment (aa 1-135). Although this teaching

does not appear to disclose sterile aqueous compositions comprising said proteins, nevertheless, it would have been prima facie obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to prepare compositions comprising the compounds in a sterile solution for a number of different pharmaceutical, biochemical, and immunological applications.

Finality of Office Action

Applicants' amendment necessitated any and all new grounds of rejection. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See M.P.E.P. § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a). A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE TO THIS FINAL ACTION IS SET TO EXPIRE THREE MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF THIS ACTION. IN THE EVENT A FIRST RESPONSE IS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS FINAL ACTION AND THE ADVISORY ACTION IS NOT MAILED UNTIL AFTER THE END OF THE THREE-MONTH SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD, THEN THE SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD WILL EXPIRE ON THE DATE THE ADVISORY ACTION IS MAILED, AND ANY EXTENSION FEE PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a) WILL BE CALCULATED FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THE IN NO EVENT WILL THE STATUTORY PERIOD FOR ADVISORY ACTION. RESPONSE EXPIRE LATER THAN SIX MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF THIS FINAL ACTION.

Correspondence

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Jeffrey S. Parkin, Ph.D., whose telephone number is (571) 272-0908. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Thursday from 10:30 AM to 9:00 PM. A message may be left on the examiner's voice mail service. If attempts to reach the examiner are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James C. Housel, can be reached at (571) 272-0902. Direct general status inquiries to the Technology Center 1600 receptionist at (571) 272-1600. Formal communications may be submitted through the official facsimile number which is (703) 872-9306. Hand-carried

U.S. Serial No. 10/076,421 Applicants: Wada, M., and N. Wada

formal communications should be directed toward the customer window located in Crystal Plaza Two, 2011 South Clark Place, Arlington, VA. Applicants are directed toward the O.G. Notice for further guidance. 1280 O.G. 681. Informal communications may be submitted to the Examiner's RightFAX account at (571) 273-0908.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Respectfully,

Jeffrey S. Parkin, Ph.D.

Patent Examiner Art Unit 1648

12 December, 2004